UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,707	04/21/2006	Bart Maximus	MAXI3005/JJC	2062
23364 BACON & THO	7590 01/20/201 OMAS, PLLC	EXAMINER		
625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			DOWLING, WILLIAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/576,707	MAXIMUS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Dowling	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply	( IO OFT TO EVEIDE - MONTH!	0) 00 7 407 (00) 8440					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	pril 2006.						
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>41-92</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-44,50,62,65,71,73,75,76,85 and 87-90</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-49</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45-49</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	u.					
Attachmont(a)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 43007/101507.	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/576,707 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 51-61, 63-64, 66-68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, 80, 82, 84, 91-92 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/5/09.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 62, 65, 73, 75, 77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 62/41 has no proper antecedent for plural "projection devices".

Claim 73/71 has no proper antecedent for "the at least two projection devices".

Claim 75/73 has no proper antecedent for "the at least two projection devices".

Claim 77/73 has no proper antecedent for "the set of filters".

Claims drawn to the use of plural projection devices are consistent with the non elected invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/576,707

Art Unit: 2878

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 41-44, 50, 71,85, 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Divelbiss et al. (7,180,554).

Divelbiss et al. 97,180,554) disclose a stereoscopic projection apparatus comprising:

At least one filter (for example See figure 30) formed of a plurality of colored filters on a rotating wheel and having spectral characteristics such that light for forming images for left and right eyes are sequentially transmitted through the filter thereby "compensating for color difference in the left and white images.)

Means for rotating the color filter in a synchronized manner with the images formed on an image modulator.

As noted in column 32 Lines 33-57, passive or active type LC polarizing glasses are provided for viewing the images.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 83 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Divelbiss et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,707 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Divelbiss et al discloses the structural limitations of the claims but does not teach the use of multiple devices.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide duplicate projection structures in order to obtain a larger composite display, as is known in the art.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 45-49 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,707 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William C. Dowling/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878

wcd